

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

June 2, 2009

INTERVIEWS: JONATHAN CROOK (252)-206-6192

QUESTIONS ABOUT THE POLL: TOM JENSEN (919)-744-6312

West Virginia Voters Support N.C.'s System

Raleigh, N.C. – Although voters in West Virginia may not necessarily agree with public finance for elections in general, Public Policy Polling finds they are overwhelmingly in favor of their state adopting a program similar to that in North Carolina's state judicial system.

73% of respondents say that they would be in favor a potential adoption by West Virginia of the public funding system in place in North Carolina, while only 19% are opposed. The law includes full public funding for candidates who agree to spending limits and reject funds from Political Action Committees, while also making the elections nonpartisan and providing voter guides to citizens.

Voters in West Virginia also believe that a public funding system would be effective in reducing conflicts of interests in the state's Supreme Court of Appeals, with 40% saying that such a law would help, and 28% saying it wouldn't.

However, PPP also found that 56% of voters are against public funding in general, with only 23% saying that they are for it.

"Although respondents claimed that they are against the concept of public finance systems, it seems they are for many of the upsides that such programs can produce," said Jonathan Crook of Public Policy Polling. "North Carolina's public finance law offers more than simply money to candidates who opt into the system, which is why it may be more attractive to West Virginia voters than typical public finance programs."

West Virginia voters also want stricter spending limits for candidates running in the Supreme Court of Appeals elections, with 67% saying that they are in favor of such a measure and 16% saying they are not.

PPP surveyed 1,366 West Virginia voters on May 26th and 27th. The survey's margin of error is +/-2.6%. Other factors, such as refusal to be interviewed and weighting, may introduce additional error that is more difficult to quantify.

If you would like an interview regarding this release, please contact Jonathan Crook at (252) 206-6192.

###



Phone: 888 621-6988

Web: www.publicpolicypolling.com

Email: information@publicpolicypolling.com





West Virginia Poll

Q1	Do you agree with the concept of public funding in general for candidates in state, federal, or judicial elections? If yes, press 1. If no, press 2. If you're not sure, press 3. Yes	Q4	Do you feel like judicial candidates are able to accept campaign financing from private entities like lawyers and law firms without creating a conflict of interests? If yes, press 1. If no, press 2. If you're not sure, press 3.
	No56%		Yes13%
	Not Sure21%		No74%
Q2	North Carolina currently has a law that gives		Not Sure13%
	statewide judicial candidates the option of accepting public campaign funding if they agree to spending limits and refuse money from Political Action Committees. It also makes judicial elections nonpartisan and provides voter guides to explain judicial	Q5	Do you think that a public finance system would be effective in reducing conflicts of interest in West Virginia's Supreme Court of Appeals? If yes, press 1. If no, press 2. If you're not sure, press 3. Yes
	candidates' qualifications. What would your		No28%
	position be on West Virginia adopting a similar program? If you strongly favor it, press 1. If		Not Sure
	you somewhat favor it, press 2. If you somewhat oppose it, press 3. If you strongly oppose it, press 4. If you're not sure, press 5. Strongly Favor	Q6	Do you think that there should be more strict spending limits for West Virginia's Supreme Court of Appeals elections? If yes, press 1. If no, press. 2. If you're not sure, press 3.
	Somewhat Favor35%		Yes67%
	Somewhat Oppose13%		No16%
	Strongly Oppose 6%		Not Sure
	Not Sure	Q7	Would you describe yourself as a liberal,
Q3	Would you be in favor of any kind of public finance system for West Virginia's Supreme Court of Appeals elections? If yes, press 1. If		moderate, or conservative? If liberal, press 1. If moderate, press 2. If conservative, press 3. Liberal
	no, press 2. If you're not sure, press 3. Yes25%		Moderate41%
	No45%		Conservative43%
	Not Sure30%	Q8	If you are a woman, press 1, if a man, press 2. Woman52%
			Man48%





Q9	If you are a Democrat, press 1. If a Republican, press 2. If other, press 3.	
	Democrat	51%
	Republican	36%
	Other	13%
Q10	If you are white, press 1. If you are African- American, press 2. If other, press 3.	
	White	93%
	African-American	4%
	Other	3%

Q11	If you are 18 to 29 years old, press 1 now. If
	you are 30 to 45, press 2. If you are 46 to 65,
	press 3. If older, press 4.

18 to 29	169
30 to 45	26%
46 to 65	40%
Older than 65	18%



		Ideology					
	Base	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative			
Public Funding in General							
Yes	23%	42%	26%	13%			
No	56%	37%	55%	64%			
Not Sure	21%	21%	20%	22%			

	Base	ldeology					
		Liberal	Moderate	Conservative			
West Virginia Adopting N.C.'s System		•	•				
Strongly Favor	38%	39%	37%	37%			
Somewhat Favor	35%	34%	39%	31%			
Somewhat Oppose	13%	14%	12%	13%			
Strongly Oppose	6%	5%	3%	8%			
Not Sure	9%	8%	8%	10%			

		Ideology					
	Base	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative			
Public Funding for Supreme Court of Appeals							
Yes	25%	37%	26%	20%			
No	45%	35%	45%	48%			
Not Sure	30%	28%	29%	32%			

		ldeology					
	Base	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative			
Accepting Money Without Conflict of Interests							
Yes	13%	20%	10%	13%			
No	74%	68%	75%	75%			
Not Sure	13%	12%	15%	12%			





		Ideology					
	Base	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative			
Can Public Finance Reduce Conflicts of Interests							
Yes	40%	48%	44%	33%			
No	28%	21%	26%	34%			
Not Sure	32%	31%	31%	33%			

	Base	ldeology				
		Liberal	Moderate	Conservative		
Stricter Spending Limits						
Yes	67%	68%	68%	65%		
No	16%	14%	15%	17%		
Not Sure	18%	18%	17%	18%		

¥	Base	Gender		
		Woman	Man	
Public Funding in				
General				
Yes	23%	22%	25%	
No	56%	52%	60%	
Not Sure	21%	26%	16%	

		Gender		
	Base	Woman	Man	
West Virginia Adopting N.C.'s System				
Strongly Favor	38%	35%	40%	
Somewhat Favor	35%	36%	34%	
Somewhat Oppose	13%	13%	13%	
Strongly Oppose	6%	4%	8%	
Not Sure	9%	13%	5%	





	Base	Woman	Man
Public Funding for Supreme Court of Appeals			
Yes	25%	23%	28%
No	45%	40%	50%
Not Sure	30%	37%	23%

		Gender	
	Base	Woman	Man
Accepting Money Without Conflict of Interests			
Yes	13%	15%	11%
No	74%	68%	80%
Not Sure	13%	17%	9%

		Gender		
	Base	Woman	Man	
Can Public Finance Reduce Conflicts of Interests				
Yes	40%	40%	40%	
No	28%	21%	36%	
Not Sure	32%	39%	24%	

		Gender		
	Base	Woman	Man	
Stricter Spending Limits		•		
Yes	67%	68%	66%	
No	16%	10%	22%	
Not Sure	18%	22%	12%	





	Base	Party		
		Democrat	Republican	Other
Public Funding in General				
Yes	23%	30%	15%	20%
No	56%	48%	65%	61%
Not Sure	21%	22%	20%	19%

		Party				
	Base	Democrat	Republican	Other		
West Virginia Adopting N.C.'s System		•				
Strongly Favor	38%	37%	38%	40%		
Somewhat Favor	35%	37%	35%	26%		
Somewhat Oppose	13%	12%	15%	11%		
Strongly Oppose	6%	4%	5%	11%		
Not Sure	9%	9%	8%	11%		

		Party		
	Base	Democrat	Republican	Other
Public Funding for Supreme Court of Appeals				
Yes	25%	29%	19%	26%
No	45%	41%	52%	40%
Not Sure	30%	30%	29%	34%

		Party		
	Base	Democrat	Republican	Other
Accepting Money Without Conflict of Interests				
Yes	13%	15%	10%	10%
No	74%	70%	80%	75%
Not Sure	13%	15%	10%	15%





		Party		
	Base	Democrat	Republican	Other
Can Public Finance Reduce Conflicts of Interests			-	
Yes	40%	43%	36%	38%
No	28%	25%	34%	27%
Not Sure	32%	32%	30%	35%

		Party				
	Base	Democrat	Republican	Other		
Stricter Spending Limits						
Yes	67%	64%	69%	70%		
No	16%	16%	17%	10%		
Not Sure	18%	20%	14%	20%		

	Base	Race		
		White	African- American	Other
Public Funding in General				
Yes	23%	23%	27%	24%
No	56%	56%	54%	38%
Not Sure	21%	21%	19%	38%

Base	Race		
	White	African- American	Other
	-		
38%	38%	36%	40%
35%	35%	46%	21%
13%	13%	12%	24%
6%	6%	3%	8%
9%	9%	4%	7%
	38% 35% 13% 6%	38% 38% 35% 35% 13% 13% 6% 6%	38% 38% 36% 35% 35% 46% 13% 13% 12% 6% 6% 3%





		Race		
		White	African- American	Other
Public Funding for Supreme Court of Appeals		•		
Yes	25%	26%	19%	23%
No	45%	45%	51%	31%
Not Sure	30%	30%	30%	46%

		Race		
		White	African- American	Other
Accepting Money Without Conflict of Interests		•		
Yes	13%	13%	9%	14%
No	74%	75%	68%	68%
Not Sure	13%	13%	22%	18%

-		Race		
		White	African- American	Other
Can Public Finance Reduce Conflicts of Interests				
Yes	40%	40%	26%	44%
No	28%	28%	53%	22%
Not Sure	32%	32%	21%	34%

		Race		
		White	African- American	Other
Stricter Spending Limits				
Yes	67%	68%	51%	60%
No	16%	15%	29%	18%
Not Sure	18%	17%	19%	22%





	Base	Age			
		18 to 29	30 to 45	101111111111111111111111111111111111111	Older than 65
Public Funding in General					
Yes	23%	22%	22%	25%	22%
No	56%	50%	62%	57%	50%
Not Sure	21%	29%	16%	18%	28%

		Age		8	
	Base	18 to 29	30 to 45		Older than 65
West Virginia Adopting N.C.'s System					
Strongly Favor	38%	38%	33%	41%	35%
Somewhat Favor	35%	34%	33%	35%	37%
Somewhat Oppose	13%	14%	13%	12%	12%
Strongly Oppose	6%	4%	10%	5%	3%
Not Sure	9%	10%	11%	6%	13%

	Base	Age			
		18 to 29	30 to 45		Older than 65
Public Funding for Supreme Court of Appeals			•	•	•
Yes	25%	32%	24%	25%	22%
No	45%	37%	45%	47%	46%
Not Sure	30%	30%	32%	28%	32%

	Base	Age			
		18 to 29	30 to 45	W44	Older than 65
Accepting Money Without Conflict of Interests					
Yes	13%	23%	11%	11%	11%
No	74%	58%	79%	79%	69%
Not Sure	13%	19%	10%	10%	20%





	Base	Age			
		18 to 29	30 to 45	46 to 65	Older than 65
Can Public Finance Reduce Conflicts of Interests		•		•	,
Yes	40%	35%	41%	43%	35%
No	28%	28%	35%	27%	24%
Not Sure	32%	37%	24%	31%	40%

	Base	Age			
		18 to 29	30 to 45		Older than 65
Stricter Spending Limits					
Yes	67%	65%	66%	71%	61%
No	16%	19%	17%	14%	16%
Not Sure	18%	16%	17%	16%	23%